

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**944 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4032 OF 2020
IN FAST/8217/2019**

**SUNIL VASANTRAV ZOPE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA**

AND

**945 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4044 OF 2020
IN FAST/8235/2019**

**TUKARAM DEVBA GAVALI
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA**

AND

**946 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4045 OF 2020
IN FAST/8231/2019**

**PHULABAI PRAKASH SONAWANE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA**

AND

**947 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4305 OF 2020
IN FAST/8233/2019**

**KAYMO CHHAMIR KHAN AND OTHERS
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA**

AND

**950 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4396 OF 2020
IN FAST/8209/2019**

**SHANTARAM PUNDALIK GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA**

AND

**951 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4397 OF 2020
IN FAST/8199/2019**

SOBHABAI NANA BHIL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA

AND
952 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4398 OF 2020
IN FAST/8205/2019

ARUN ANANDA JARAD (DIED) MALANBAI ARUN JARAD
VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA

...

Mr. Vishnu B. Madan, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. B.M. Dhanure, Advocate for the respondent.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 14.09.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay as mentioned in their applications, which has been caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned counsel for the respondent strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the respective delay periods as mentioned in the applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.

3. The applications are accordingly disposed of.
4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)