

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**86 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3846 OF 2020
IN FAST/8151/2019**

**NAMDEO NATTHU KOLI
VERSUS
DISTRICT COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. S.A. Kale h/f.
Mr.Kale Ajeet B.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe
Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. Pokharkar Darshan D.

...

AND

**87 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4003 OF 2020
IN FAST/7949/2019 WITH CA/4004/2020 IN FAST/7951/2019**

**TUSHAR SHANTARAM ROKADE
VERSUS
DISTRICT COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. S.A. Kale h/f.
Mr.Kale Ajeet B.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. S. R. Yadav - Lonikar
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. N. V. Mirajkar h/f.
Mr. Narwade V. P.,
and Mr. M.V. Nawade

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19/12/2022.

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants / claimants, in these applications, are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein, which appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP as well as learned counsel for the Acquiring

Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that there is also circulation delay.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods in all these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay and also for the delay in circulating the matter belatedly.

3. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-