

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.5164 OF 2022

Satish s/o. Maruti Margane .. Petitioner
Age. 41 years, Occ. Service,
R/o. Office of Secretary,
Agriculture Produce
Market Committee, Aurad,
Shahajani, Tq. Nilanga, Dist. Latur.

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents
Through the Secretary
Co-operation, Marketing and Textile
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
2. The Director of Marketing
Directorate of Marketing,
Pune.
3. District Deputy Registrar
Co-operative Societies, Latur.
4. The Administrator/Chairman,
Agriculture Produce Marketing
Committee, Aurad (Shahajani)
Tq. Nilanga, Dist. Latur.

Mr.A.L. Kanade, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.A.S. Shinde, AGP for the respondent/State.

**CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**
DATED : 06.06.2022

ORAL JUDGMENT : [PER : R.D. DHANUKA,J.] :-

01. Rule. Learned AGP waives service for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Rule returnable forthwith. Respondent No.4 is a formal party. No reliefs are sought against respondent No.4.

02. Short grievance of the petitioner is that the proposal dated 01.11.2017 submitted by District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Latur i.e. respondent No.3 seeking guidance for giving the charge of Secretary of respondent No.4 is still pending. The petitioner has been already appointed on the said post.

03. Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said proposal dated 01.11.2017 filed by the District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Latur within three months from today, in accordance with law, if not already decided. The order that would be passed by respondent No.2 shall be communicated to the petitioner within one week from the date of passing of the order. If said proposal is decided in favour of the petitioner, consequential relief as is permissible in law be granted within four weeks from the date of

passing such order. If the same is adverse to the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings as permissible in law. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any view on the merits of the matter. All the contentions of the parties on merits are kept open.

04. The writ petition is allowed in aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute. No order as to costs. Parties to act upon the authenticated copy of this order.

[ANIL L. PANSARE,J.]

[R.D. DHANUKA,J.]