

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

23 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.305 OF 2022

SADEQ PASHU SHAIKH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Mr. S.K. Savangikar, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. A.M. Phule, APP for the respondent

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 28th MARCH, 2022

PER COURT :

1 Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he had sent letter to the applicant stating that since applicant is not cooperating with him, he will not appear for the applicant and he should engage some other person and he has also produced the track report stating that his letter has been received by the applicant, however, this applicant has not contacted him yet. Therefore, he submits that his appearance should be discharged. In view of the fact that the procedure has been completed he is discharged.

2 Since this is an anticipatory bail application and it appears from

the contents of the letter given by the learned Advocate for the applicant that the applicant is not interested in application. Under such circumstance, the application stands rejected for want of prosecution.

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi, J.)

agd