

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

921 WRIT PETITION NO.5215 OF 2022

**MADHURI SHRIKRISHNA KULKARNI SAU REVATI SHRIRAM JOSHI
VERSUS
LEVA SAMAJ YUVAK SEVA MANDAL VARANGAON AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.Bhokarikar Madhav M.
AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. S.K.Tambe
Advocate for Respondent No. 6 : Mr.Bangar Ravi R.
Advocate for Respondents -U.O.I. : Mr.Nitin K. Chaudhary

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE : 15.06.2022.

PER COURT :

1. By this petition the petitioner has raised a grievance as regards her employer having failed in contributing the employees share towards the Provident Fund contribution.

2. She is still in service with the concerned employer, which is an aided school.

3. It does not call for any debate that if there is any delay in depositing provident fund contribution, be it the employees share or the employers, the Provident Fund Authorities can initiate appropriate action under the Employees' Provident Fund and M.P.

Act, 1952 (hereinafter referred to as the 'E.P.F.' & 'M.P.' Act) and can ensure that the unpaid provident fund contributions are recovered under Section 7 (A) read with Section 14 (B) of the E.P.F. Act and M.P.F. Act.

4. The learned Counsel representing the P.F. Authorities, (respondent Nos. 7 and 8), who had appeared in the matter on the request of the Court, submits that if the petitioner approaches the E.P.F. authority, her grievances would be considered.

5. Considering the above, this petition is disposed of with liberty to approach the E.P.F. authority under the provisions of E.P.F. and M.P. Act, 1952.

(ANIL L. PANSARE)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE