

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10378 OF 2021  
IN FAST/8403/2021**

**GORUSING ASARAM GUSINGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. P. N. Kutti  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

**AND**

**909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10403 OF 2021  
IN FAST/7506/2021**

**KACHRUSING HARSING GUSINGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. R. Yadav  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

**AND**

**910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10404 OF 2021  
IN FAST/8574/2021**

**SANJUSING KESARSING JARVAL  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. P. N. Kutti  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

**AND**

**911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10405 OF 2021  
IN FAST/7609/2021**

**BIRABAI @ MERABAI ASARAM GUSINGE**

VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. A. A. Jagatkar  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

AND

912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10409 OF 2021  
IN FAST/17366/2021

KAILAS SANDUSING GUSINGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. R. Yadav  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

AND

913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10420 OF 2021  
IN FAST/8577/2021

SHIVSING ASARAM GUSINGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. P. N. Kutti  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

AND

914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7444 OF 2022  
IN FAST/9133/2021

MAHASING ASARAM GUSINGE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. A. A. Jagatkar  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...

AND  
915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7445 OF 2022  
IN FAST/7500/2021

SHRIMANT DAGDU DARADE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...  
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. R. Yadav  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...  
AND  
916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7446 OF 2022  
IN FAST/25309/2021

GANESH BUDHSING BAHURE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA AND  
ORS

...  
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. P. N. Kutti  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...  
AND  
917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7454 OF 2022  
IN FAST/9093/2021

SUKHDEO DAGADU DARADE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...  
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. A. A. Jagatkar  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

...  
AND  
918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8091 OF 2022  
IN FAST/29015/2021

BUDHSING KACHRUSING BAHURE  
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR, JALNA AND  
ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale  
AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. S. R. Yadav  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao

.....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**DATED : 22/09/2022.**

***P. C. :***

1. The learned counsel, Mr. Sudhir Bhalerao is appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 – acquiring body.
2. Heard. All the respective applicants in these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in their respective applications. The delay appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The learned AGP as well as the learned counsel for the respondent No.3 strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay.
3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the respective delay periods mentioned therein, stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods.
3. The applications are accordingly disposed of.
4. The respective appeals be placed for admissions after removal of office objections, if any.

**( SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J. )**