

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9030 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL NO.1361 OF 2014
NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY LTD THR ITS AUTHORIZED
SIGNATORY AURANGABAD
VERSUS
NAMDEO TUKARAM KALE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. M.R. Deshmukh
Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 5 : Mr. R.G. Hange

....
CORAM : S.G. DIGE, J.
DATE : 21st October, 2022

ORDER :

- . Heard learned Counsel for applicant and learned Counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 5.
2. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that, notice was issued against respondent No.6 but could not served on him. Hence, permission be given to the applicant to serve on respondent No.6 by regular mode as well as re-issue notice against respondent No.6.
3. Considering the submissions of both the learned Counsel and reasons mentioned in the application, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.
4. The Civil Application is disposed of.

[S.G. DIGE, J.]