

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3899 OF 2022

Gangabhishan s/o. Kashinath Thaware,
 Age 58 years, Occu. Agril. and Social Work,
 R/o. Anandgaon, Taluka Majalgaon,
 District Beed

.. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
 Through its Secretary,
 Department of Co-operation and
 Textile, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32.
2. The Commissioner of Sugar
 Maharashtra State, Pune – 411 005
3. The Regional Joint Director (Sugar),
 Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad.
5. The District Collector,
 Collector Office, Beed, District Beed
6. The Managing Director,
 N.S.L. Sugar Ltd. Unit – III
 Jai Mahesh, Pawarwadi,
 Taluka Majalgaon, District Beed

.. Respondents

...

Mr. Bhagwan R. Sable, Advocate for Petitioner
 Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents – State

...

**CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &
 S. G. MEHARE, JJ.**
DATE : 20-04-2022

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER : R. D. DHANUKA, J.) :-

Rule. Learned A. G. P. waives service of notice for the
 respondents – State. Rule made returnable forthwith.

Heard finally with consent of parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to delete respondent no. 5 from the cause title of the petition. Leave as prayed for is granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for writ of *mandamus* for an order and direction to decide the representations dated 22.11.2021 and 27.12.2021 submitted by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not seek relief against respondent no. 5. Statement is accepted.

4. Respondent no. 3 – The Regional Joint Director (Sugar), Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad, is accordingly directed to consider and dispose of the representations made by the petitioner before the said authority and pass appropriate orders within six (06) weeks from the communication of this order and communicate the order that would be passed to the petitioner within one (01) week from the date of passing such order.

5. If the representation/s of the petitioner is/are decided in favour of the petitioner, the consequential benefits shall be granted to the petitioner within four (4) weeks from the date of

passing of such order in favour of the petitioner.

If the representation/s of the petitioner is/are rejected, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings as permissible in law.

5. Writ petition is allowed. No order as to costs.
6. Rule is made absolute accordingly.
7. The parties shall act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

(R. D. DHANUKA)
JUDGE

rrd