

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**906 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2292 OF 2020
IN FAST/6422/2019**

**BHAGUBAI LAXMAN HIWALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. S. R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

...

AND

**907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2293 OF 2020
IN FAST/6436/2019**

**DADARAO GANPANT TOMPE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

...

AND

**908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2333 OF 2020
IN FAST/6439/2019**

**BHANUDAS BHAURAO PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. S. R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

...

AND

**909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2360 OF 2020
IN FAST/6427/2019**

MADHAVRAO HUNMANT PAWAR (DIED) THR LRS PANDHARINATH

AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. P. N. Kutti
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

...

AND
910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2378 OF 2020
IN FAST/6441/2019

BABAN FAKIRBA GADEKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. S. R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

...

AND
912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7442 OF 2021
IN FAST/33489/2019

GANESH GANPAT TOMPE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. D. M. Pingale
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Mr. S. R. Yadav
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. S. G. Bhalerao

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 28/09/2022.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. All the respective applicants in these applications, are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein. The

delay appears to be caused due to poor financial condition of the applicants – claimants. The learned AGP as well as the learned counsel for acquiring body strongly opposed the application.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and orders in similar other matters passed by this court, the respective delay periods mentioned in the applications, stand condoned, subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any. Civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

4. Call R & P.

5. After registration of the appeals, the same be listed alongwith other connected matters.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)