

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 30 OF 2022

Abdul Kadar S/o Abdul Sattar Katchi	... Applicant
Versus	
Nana S/o Narayan Saindane and another	... Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2022

Abdul Kadar s/o Abdul Sattar Katchi	... Applicant
Versus	
Shobha W/o Ramesh Sapkale and another	... Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 2022

Abdul Kadar S/o Abdul Sattar Katchi	... Applicant
Versus	
Chandrakala Suresh Sapkale and another	... Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 33 OF 2022

Abdul Kadar S/o Abdul Sattar Katchi	... Applicant
Versus	
Shobha W/o Ashok Raut and another	... Respondents

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 34 OF 2022

Abdul Kadar S/o Abdul Sattar Katchi	... Applicant
Versus	
Latabai Bhaskar Ghatole and another	... Respondents

....
Mr. Rajesh H. Mewara, Advocate for applicant
....

CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT, J.

DATED : 15th JUNE, 2022

PER COURT :-

. After having heard the learned Advocate for the applicant, this Court found the trial Court has rightly rejected the application for rejection of the plaint. None of the grounds under Order VII Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure have been made out. The plaintiffs have come with the case of having been in possession of the suit premises as tenant. A relief for declaration of tenancy has been asked for. The same could only be granted or rejected when the parties go for trial of the suit. Even, no case is made out for issuance of notice.

2. All the civil revision applications are dismissed.

3. The trial Court is requested to decide the suit as early as possible, preferably within a period of one and half years from receipt of the copy of this order.

[R. G. AVACHAT, J.]

SMS