

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

934 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4672 OF 2020
IN FAST/6353/2019 WITH CA/4673/2020 IN FAST/7063/2019

DADA VITTHAL ZIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Patil Laxmikant C.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. P. N. Kutti
...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 12.10.2022

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in both these applications. The delay appears to be caused due to financial crisis of the applicants. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that there is also circulation delay.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the respective delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay periods and also for delay in circulating the matter belatedly.

3. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-