

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

955 WRIT PETITION NO.3154 OF 2022

**YOGESHRI BHARAT TOTAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. Jadhavar Pratap V.
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. S. P. Tiwari

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
S. G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATE: 03rd MARCH, 2022

PER COURT:

1. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is appointed as Krushi Sevak. The petitioner had completed 3 years of service. The petitioner is entitled to be granted pay scale of Krushi Sahayyak. Only on the ground that validity certificate is not submitted, the respondents are not considering petitioner for regularization.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the vigilance is conducted. The petitioner has also filed say to the vigilance report.

3. In light of that, we pass the following order-

ORDER

I] The petitioner shall appear before the Scrutiny Committee on 22.03.2022.

II] The Scrutiny Committee shall endeavour to decide the validation proceeding within a period of three (03) months from the date of appearance of the petitioner. The petitioner shall co-operate in expeditious disposal of the validation proceeding before the Scrutiny Committee.

III] The respondents shall take decision upon the claim of the petitioner for salary of Krushi Sahayyak on it's own merits.

IV] The petitioner will have to show that, he has completed 3 years satisfactory services and the availability of the post.

V] The claim of the petitioner for pay scale as may be prescribed shall not be rejected only on the ground that validation proceeding is still pending.

VI] The respondents may take further course of action depending upon the Judgment that would be delivered by the Scrutiny Committee in the validation proceeding and whatever decision would be taken by the Authority about the claim of the petitioner, the same would be provisional and subject to the decision delivered by the Scrutiny Committee in the validation proceeding.

4. In the light of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[S. G. DIGE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe