

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

996 WRIT PETITION NO.3081 OF 2022

DIPAK VASANTRAO HAZARE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the petitioners : Mr.B.S.Shinde
AGP for Respondent-State : Mr.K.N.Lokhande
Advocate for Respondent nos.3 and 4 : Mr.V.M.Vibhute

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA &
S.G.DIGE, JJ.**

DATE : 02.03.2022

P.C. :

1] Rule. Learned AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2 waives service. Learned counsel for the respondent nos.3 and 4 waives service. Heard finally by consent of the parties.

2] By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seeking direction against the respondent nos.3 and 4 to consider the claims / representations of the petitioners as per circular dated 28th June 2018 issued by the respondent no.1 for giving the postings to the petitioners as per their choices by opening / showing the posts of compulsory vacancies. These claims / representations are annexed at Exhibit-C. Learned counsel

for the respondent nos.3 and 4 does not dispute that the representations made by the petitioners are pending for consideration. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that till date, the claims / representations of the petitioners have not been considered.

3] In view thereof, the respondent nos. 3 and 4 are directed to consider and decide the claims / representations of the petitioners [Exhibit-C] within a period of six weeks from today. The respondent nos. 3 and 4 shall communicate decision to the petitioners within four weeks from the date of decision.

4] The petition is accordingly disposed of in aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

[S.G.DIGE, J.]

[S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.]

DDC