

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3418 OF 2020
IN FAST/5417/2020**

UTTAM GOVIND RAPAL
VERSUS
GANPATI GANGARAM KALBANDE AND ANR

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. M. P. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Anand D. Dale h/f
Mr. Swapnil S. Rath

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 24/11/2022.**

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 130 days, which appears to be caused due to his financial crisis.
3. The learned counsel for the insurance company strongly opposed the application on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant, the delay of 130 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay if the appeal is allowed.
5. Appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)