

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**944 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3325 OF 2022 IN
FAST/29447/2021 WITH CA/13005/2021
IN FAST/29447/2021 WITH WITH CA/13004/2021 IN
FAST/29447/2021**

**JAYKUMAR S/O BALKISAN SHAH
VERSUS
KANTABAI W/O SURYABHAN KAKADE AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. Ashutosh Kulkarni, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. U.S. Malte, Advocate for respondent No.4.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 27.07.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant / original appellant. The applicant is seeking paper proclamation for service against respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 7. The bailiff report shows that notice upon respondent Nos. 1 to 3 could not be served for want of detailed address, whereas in respect of respondent No.7, it has been revealed that it's office found closed since last three years.

2. As such, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (A) on payment of necessary charges.

3. Stand over to 21 September 2022. Interim relief, if any, to continue till then.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)