

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3578 OF 2022
IN SA/17/2019 WITH CA/869/2019 IN SA/17/2019**

**SITABAI TUKARAM KSHIRSAGAR (DIED) LRS DADA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ANKUSH SHRIPATI REPALE (DIED) LRS RAHIBAI AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Rahul R. Sancheti
Advocate for Respondent No.9 : Mr. S.P. Salgar h/f. Mr. N.V. Gaware

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 14.03.2022

PER COURT :

The respondent No.3 is treated as served as he has refused to accept the service. Though the request is now being made for effecting service to the respondent Nos.3-A, 3-C and 3-D under Order V Rule 20 of the Code of Civil Procedure, it is not thereby the service has not been attempted under Order V Rule 17. Let there be an attempt in this regard. Reissue notices to them for effecting service under Order V Rule 17, returnable on 18.04.2022. So also issue notices to respondent Nos.1-A, 1-C and 1-G for a similar service under Order V Rule 17 in both, the Civil Application as well as in Second Appeal.

2. The Civil Application is disposed of.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)