

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 287 OF 2022

1. Jalindar S/o Keshav Dangat
  2. Pradip S/o Jalindar Dangat
  3. Satish S/o Jalindar Dangat
- Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

Respondent

Mr. N. B. Narwade, Advocate for the applicants.  
Mr. S. B. Narwade, APP for respondent/State.

**CORAM : M.G. Sewlikar, J.**

DATE : 1<sup>st</sup> APRIL, 2022.

PER COURT :

1. Heard.
2. Allegations against the applicants in the First Information Report are that on account of property disputes, on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2022 at 1.40 pm, in informant's field bearing gut No. 45/3, accused Sudhir Ashok Dangat and Ashok Keshav Dangat abused the informant, fell him down and beat him with kicks and fist blows. Applicant Jalindar Dangat instigated to kill the informant. Accused

Sudhir Dangat started the tractor and drove it on the informant. The informant saved himself by jumping out of the way of the tractor. Informant and his mother by the name of Chandrabhaga were assaulted by applicant Jalindar Dangat, Sudhir Dangat, Latabai Dangat, Janabai Dangat, Rohini Dangat and Ashiwni Dangat. Applicant Pradeep Dangat delivered a blow of iron rod on the head of the mother of the informant. Both of them were rescued by the witnesses. On these allegations, First Information Report came to be lodged against the applicants.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the witnesses including the informant sustained simple injuries. He submits that the entire investigation is complete.

4. Learned APP opposes the application.

5. Perused the investigation papers. From the perusal of the investigation papers it reveals that the medical certificate of the informant is collected which shows that the informant sustained simple injuries. Apart from the informant, there are two more injured persons viz. Chandrabhaga and Sahebrao. Both of them

sustained simple injuries. Learned APP Shri Narwade submits that the tractor is yet to be seized. So far as the other aspects are concerned, the investigation seems to have been substantially completed. Therefore, I do not find any reason to deny bail to the applicants. No extra ordinary circumstance is brought on record to reject bail to the applicants. Applicants have no criminal antecedents. They are not likely to flee from justice. In this view of the matter, I am inclined to release the applicants on bail. Hence the following order :-

**ORDER**

- i) Application is allowed.
- ii) Each of the applicants be released on PR Bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rs. Twenty Thousand) with one solvent surety in the like amount in connection with Crime No. 0084/2022 registered at Rahuri Police Station, Dist. Ahmednagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 149, 324, 323, 504, 506, 307 of the Indian Penal Code, on condition that they shall not interfere in the investigation, shall not pressurise the witnesses and shall remain present before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between

12.00 noon and 4.00 pm till filing of the charge-sheet.

iii) Application stands disposed of.

iv) It is clarified that the observations made in the above order are restricted to the decision of this application only and the trial Court shall not get influenced by the same and can come to its independent conclusion during trial.

**( M. G. SEWLIKAR )**  
**Judge**

dyb