

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**932 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9061 OF 2019
IN FAST/4818/2019**

**SURESH MAHADEO PADULE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...
Mr. Madhav Jadhav, Advocate holding for
Mr. Abhijit More, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. S.R. Yadav-Lonikar, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 07.09.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. This is an application for condonation of delay of 831 days which appears to be caused due to poor financial condition of the applicant. The learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 strongly opposed the application. However, none appeared on behalf of respondent No.3 Acquiring Body despite service.

2. Considering the statutory right of the applicant, the application is allowed and the aforesaid period of delay stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the delay period.

3. The civil application is accordingly disposed of.
4. The appeal be placed for admission, after removal of office objections, if any.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)