

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.2443 OF 2022

VISHWATMA SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY WALMIK SAKHARAM SURASE AND OTHERS
..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ajay S. Deshpande, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. S. G. Sangle, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.

DATED : 23rd JUNE, 2022.

PER COURT:-

1. The petitioners have put forth prayer
Clause 'B' and 'C' as under:-

"(B) The respondent no.1 may kindly be
directed to decide pending proposals of the
petitioners submitted through proper
channel, with recommendations, at Exh. 'E'
Colly, in tune with the policy decision of
the State Govt. ventilated in G.R. dated
18.07.2016 at Exh.'C' to this petition,
within a specific period, without any
further delay, to subserve the ends of
justice.

(C) The respondent no.1 may kindly be directed to bring the additional divisions/branches of Higher Secondary Section on 'non-grant basis' from 'self-funding basis', in terms of G.R. dated 18.7.2016 at Exh. 'C' and oblige."

2. We make it clear that we are not entertaining prayer Clause 'C' set out in this petition since the request of the petitioners in prayer Clause 'C' is reflected in prayer Clause 'B' and that is to be considered by the State Government on its own merits.

3. This Petition is disposed off with the following directions:-

A. The proposals below Annexure 'E' from Page Nos.37 to 51 of the petition paper book, be considered by the Government on their own merits and in accordance with the rules/law/policies applicable.

B. Respondent no.1 shall decide the said proposal on or before 15.11.2022.

4. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the proposals which are to be considered.

(ANIL L. PANSARE)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE

Devendra/June-2022