

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7444 OF 2020  
IN FA/59/2013 WITH CA/7445/2020 IN FA/150/2013

SATYABHAMA PRABHAKAR BANDGAR  
VERSUS  
THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD THR BR MANAGER AND ANR

...  
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Ingale Vivekanand V.  
Advocate for R/1 : Mr. V.R. Mundada and Mr. S.R. Bodade  
...

**CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE, J.**  
**DATE : 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2022**

**PC.:-**

It appears that the Insurance Company has appointed advocate Mr. V.R. Mundada first and thereafter advocate Mr. S.R. Bodade. Advocate Mr. S.R. Bodade has not obtained consent from advocate Mr. A.R. Mundada. The Insurance Company ought not to have appointed another advocate without seeking discharge of earlier advocate.

2. Both the learned advocates are aware of the Appellate Side Rules of the Bombay High Court. The rule provides that unless first advocate is discharged by the order of the Court in terms of Rule 7 (i) of Chapter IV, the other advocate cannot enter appearance. Another option is to take no objection from the earlier advocate to enter appearance by subsequent

advocate. Necessary steps be taken accordingly.

3. By present applications, the applicants are seeking to list the appeals for final hearing. The appeals are of the year 2013. List the matters for final hearing on 25.08.2022, if it is otherwise ready for final hearing. Applications stand disposed of in above terms.

**[ANIL L. PANSARE, J.]**