

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

933 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10864 OF 2019
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.964 OF 2012

JIJABAI LAXMAN PATIL & OTHERS
VERSUS
PARMAR LILBHAUJSINGH JOGENDARSINGH & ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr.M.M.Bhokarika
Advocate for Respondent no.2 : Mr.Mukul S. Kulkarni
...

CORAM : S.G.DIGE, J.
DATE : 13.10.2022

P.C. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the applicants and learned counsel for respondent no.2.

2] The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants tried to serve notice on respondent no.1 but it could not be served in spite of correct address of respondent no.1, hence, requested to serve respondent no.1 through paper publication.

3] Considering the submissions of both learned counsel and the reasons mentioned in the application, application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses-B and C.

4] Civil Application is disposed of accordingly.

[S.G.DIGE]
JUDGE

DDC