

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

928 WRIT PETITION NO.4563 OF 2021

RATNA SURESHKUMAR JAIN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. S.A. Kale, Advocate holding for
Mr. A.B. Kale, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 07-06-2022.

P.C. :

1. Leave to correct the description of respondent Nos.3 and 4. Correction be carried out forthwith.

2. The learned A.G.P. has already caused an appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4.

3. We find that the controversy revolves around the claim of the petitioner that his land admeasuring 3 Hectares 95 Aar in Gut No. 342 has been utilized for a public project, without acquisition. Hence, a notice has been issued to respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 on 16-12-2020 calling upon the

said Authorities to initiate appropriate steps for acquisition. Till date, neither have the said respondents responded to the said notice, nor have any steps been initiated.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent Nos.3 and 4 to respond to the notice dated 16-12-2020, on or before 30th June 2022, by taking a definite stand. The response shall contain with reasons. Thereafter, if the grievance of the petitioner is not redressed, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail of a remedy as is permissible in law.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)