

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6659 OF 2021
IN FAST/3908/2020 WITH CA/6657/2021 IN FAST/3922/2020
WITH CA/6655/2021 IN FAST/3916/2020 WITH CA/6661/2021 IN
FAST/3911/2020 WITH CA/6662/2021 IN FAST/3918/2020

SRIDEVI SURYAKANT SHIRAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

AND

915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6677 OF 2021
IN FAST/3951/2020

KISAN MADHAV GUND (DIED) THR LRS SUBHASH KISANRAO
GUND
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

AND

916 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6682 OF 2021
IN FAST/4242/2020 WITH CA/6680/2021 IN FAST/4244/2020
WITH CA/6679/2021 IN FAST/3947/2020

RAJENDRA VYANKATRAO GUND
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

AND

917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6694 OF 2021
IN FAST/3970/2020 WITH CA/6698/2021 IN FAST/4125/2020
WITH CA/6696/2021 IN FAST/4123/2020

BALAJI VISHWANATH BHOSALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

AND

918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6750 OF 2021
IN FAST/3826/2020 WITH CA/6751/2021 IN FAST/4121/2020
WITH CA/6759/2021 IN FAST/4105/2020 WITH CA/6755/2021 IN

FAST/4107/2020 WITH CA/6761/2021 IN FAST/4113/2020 WITH
CA/6765/2021 IN FAST/4110/2020 WITH CA/6766/2021 IN
FAST/4101/2020 WITH CA/6756/2021 IN FAST/4117/2020 WITH
CA/6758/2021 IN FAST/4119/2020 WITH CA/6753/2021 IN
FAST/4103/2020 WITH CA/6762/2021 IN FAST/4096/2020

GANESH YESHWANT GUND
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Mr. B. K. Pawar, Advocate holding for Mr. A. B. Kale, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. B. V. Virdhe, Mr. S. R. Yadav, Mr. S. N. Kulkarni. Mr. A. B. Chate and Mr. P. M. Kulkarni, AGPs for respondent-State in respective applications.

Mr. S. D. Dhongade, Mr. S. G. Sangale and Mr. Prashant Shinde Advocates for the Acquiring Body in respective matters.

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 11/11/2022.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. All these applications are filed by the respective applicants for condonation of delay periods as mentioned in the applications. The delay appears to be caused due to financial crisis of the applicants. The learned respective counsel of the acquiring body as well as the learned AGP strongly opposed the applications on the ground that delay is not properly explained.
3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the respective delay periods as mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim

any interest for the aforesaid delay periods. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any. The copy of this order be kept with the appeals.

4. Civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)