

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2591 OF 2021**

Sajeed Razzak Patel  
Age: 61 years, Occ: Agril.,  
R/o. Alani, Tq. Osmanabad,  
Dist. Osmanabad

....**PETITIONER**  
[Original Claimant]

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra  
Through The Collector, Osmanabad.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer,  
P.T. and MIW No. 1, at Osmanabad.
3. The Executive Engineer  
Railway Nirman, Central Railway,  
Solapur .

....**RESPONDENTS**  
[Orig. Respondents]

Mr. Ramesh V. Naiknavare, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Mr. Y.G. Gujarathi, AGP for State.  
Mr. M.N. Navandar, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

.....

**[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]**

**DATE : 17<sup>th</sup> AUGUST, 2022**

**ORAL JUDGMENT :**

1. The learned advocate for the petitioner is permitted to correct the prayer clause. Correction to be carried out during the course of the day.

2. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally with the consent of the parties.

3. This petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, impugns the order dated 01.11.2014 passed below Exhibit-1 in Land Acquisition Reference No. 376 of 2009, by the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Osmanabad, thereby rejecting the land acquisition reference as the petitioner failed to adduce evidence.

4. Indisputably the issue involved in this petition is covered by the judgment of this Court (Coram: V.K. Jadhav, J.) in Writ Petition No. 12795 of 2019 and connected writ petitions, wherein this Court has held that the reference has to be decided on merits. Since, in those matters, references were rejected due to failure on the part of the petitioners therein to adduce evidence, this Court has held that the reference Court did not decide the references on merits and therefore set aside the orders passed by the reference Court and restored the references and directed to decide the references on merits.

5. Admittedly, in the present case also the reference is not decided on merits and the same is rejected solely on the ground that the petitioner failed to adduce evidence. The present case is therefore squarely covered by the above-referred decision. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (I) The writ petition is allowed.
- (II) The impugned order dated 01.11.2014 passed by the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Osmanabad, in Land Acquisition Reference No. 376/2009 is hereby quashed and set aside.
- (III) The matter is relegated back to the concerned reference Court for decision on merits, after giving an opportunity to the respective parties.
- (IV) The reference Court shall expedite the hearing of the matter and decide the same within a period of six months from the date of receipt of this order. Parties to co-operate.

Rule is made absolute in the above terms.  
No costs.

**[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]**