

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 88 OF 2022
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 1629 OF 2021**

Archana Nanasaheb Devange

.. Petitioner

Versus

Shri Vishal Solanki,
The Commissioner (Education)

.. Respondent

Mr. Ketan Pote, Advocate h/f Mr. Arvind G. Ambetkar, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Sole Respondent.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
S. G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATED : 16th FEBRUARY 2022.

PER COURT:-

. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequently the representation of the petitioner is decided and the claim of the petitioner is rejected.

2. In view of the above, the contempt stands purged. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

3. In case, the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision, the petitioner may take appropriate steps in that regard.

**(S. G. DIGE)
JUDGE**

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**

P.S.B.