

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1011 OF 2022

Tukaram Dulba Gaikwad ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S.P. Tiwari, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.1012 OF 2022

Muswirkhan Mutalik Khan Durani ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S.P. Tiwari, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.1020 OF 2022

Rahena Begum Ansar Hashami ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

2

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, Addl.G.P for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.1043 OF 2022

Ajima Begum W/o Shaikh Jalil ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. P.S. Patil, Addl. G.P for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.1045 OF 2022

Farjana Begum Shaikh Mujahid ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S.K. Tambe, A.G.P for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

3

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.1048 OF 2022

Mujahed ali Khudarat ali Sayyad ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents

....

Mr. G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. S.P. Tiwari, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3

Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2022

PER COURT:-

1. The grievance of the petitioners is that the past services are not considered by respondent no.2. The Petitioners have given representations.
2. In view of the fact that the representations are pending, we pass the following order.
3. Respondent no.2 shall take decision upon the representations made by the petitioners for considering past services on its own merits in accordance with law, preferably within six (6) months from today.
4. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

**(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE**

**(S.V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**

S.P. Rane