

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4395 OF 2021**

Mohanlal Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 386 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4397 OF 2014**

Kishanlal Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4396 OF 2014**

Rajesh Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 388 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4394 OF 2014**

Subhash Chotelal Bhagat
Since deceased through L.Rs.
Naresh Subhash Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 391 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4107 OF 2014

Kanhhiyalal Babulal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4393 OF 2014

Motilal Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 396 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4399 OF 2014

Madanlal Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 397 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4398 OF 2014

Champaklal Chotelal Bhagat .. Applicant
Versus
The Union of India and others .. Respondents

Shri Raviraj R. Chandak, Advocate for the Applicant in all matters.

Shri A. G. Talhar, A.S.G. for the Respondent No. 1 in all matters.

Shri Alok Sharma, Advocate, for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
S. G. DIGE, JJ.
DATE : 18TH FEBRUARY, 2022.**

FINAL ORDER :

. Present civil applications are filed for amendment in memo of the petitions.

2. Mr. Chandak, the learned counsel for applicants submits that, subsequent events are sought to be brought on record. The Vivad Se Vishwas Scheme has been floated and other facts are to be placed on record.

3. Mr. Sharma, the learned advocate for non applicant Nos. 2 and 3 and Mr. Talhar, the learned A. S. G. for non applicant No. 1 oppose the applications on the ground that the applicants are not concerned with the merits of the writ petitions.

4. The writ petitions are not yet admitted. The same are at the nascent stage.

5. Even otherwise non applicants will have every opportunity to controvert the averments sought to be introduced by way of amendment.

6. For the reasons stated in the application, the civil applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause "B". The civil applications are disposed of.

7. Necessary amendment be carried out within a period of 14 days from today.

[S. G. DIGE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb.22