

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1018 OF 2022

NAMDEO VISHNU SASE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. S. T. Shelke
AGP for Respondents No.1to3: Mr. A. R. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No.4: Mr. V. B. Dhage
Advocate for Respondent No.5:
Mr. A. V. Indrale Patil

...

CORAM:	S. V. GANGAPURWALA & S. G. DIGE, JJ.
DATE:	21st JANUARY, 2022

PER COURT:

1. Motion is made for speaking to minutes in respect of the order dated 19.01.2022.

2. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the Respondents that the decision has to be taken by the Deputy Director of Education. However, in Paragraph No. 7 it is stated that the documents be submitted with the Education Officer. The same ought to be Deputy Director of Education.

3. In the light of above, in Paragraph No. 7 of order dated 19.01.2022 in Writ Petition No. 1018 of 2022 instead of Education Officer the same be substituted and corrected as Deputy Director of Education.

4. Motion accordingly stands disposed of.

[S. G. DIGE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe