

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 363 OF 2014

Shaikh Khalili Shaikh Dagdu	PETITIONER
VERSUS	
The State of Maharashtra and Others	RESPONDENTS

WTIH
WRIT PETITION NO. 364 OF 2014

Ashok Sakharam Athawale	PETITIONER
VERSUS	
The State of Maharashtra and Others	RESPONDENTS

WTIH
WRIT PETITION NO. 365 OF 2014

Sakharam Dashrath Sable	PETITIONER
VERSUS	
The State of Maharashtra and Others	RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. N. P. Bangar, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. P. N. Kutti, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. D. L. Vakil, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4

.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]

DATE : 11th APRIL, 2022

ORDER :

1. In fact, the petitioners have challenged recovery certificates issued under section 101 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, though ostensibly the order of attachment issued against them is impugned in the present writ petitions.

2. The petitioners have alternate efficacious remedy to challenge the impugned orders before appropriate forum under the provisions of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act. This Court, while issuing notices, by order dated 13th January, 2014, directed the petitioners to deposit Rs.40,000/- in writ petitions No. 363 of 2014 and 365 of 2014 and Rs.30,000/- in writ petition No. 364 of 2014, which the petitioners have deposited in this Court.

3. Writ petitions are dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to avail alternate remedy. All the contentions of the petitioners are kept open.

4. The amounts deposited by the petitioners in this Court pursuant to order dated 13th January, 2014 be returned back to the petitioners.

5. Interim relief granted by this court shall continue to operate for a period of four weeks from today.

6. Pending civil applications stand disposed of.

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE