

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**29 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2190 OF 2014
IN FAST/230/2014 WITH CA/2189/2014 IN FAST/232/2014 WITH
CA/2191/2014 IN FAST/218/2014**

**SAKHARAM BABU @ DASHRATH BAHIR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. N.K. Tungar, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. P.M. Kulkarni, A.G.P. for respondent – State.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 09.11.2022.

ORDER:-

1. Heard the rival submissions. The respective applicants in all these applications are seeking condonation of delay of 1429 days in filing the respective appeals. According to the applicants, there was a typographical mistake in the impugned judgment which was to be removed by applying before the concerned Court, and therefore, the delay took place.

2. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that there is also circulation delay.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the applications are allowed and the delay of 1429 days in all these applications stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay and also for the delay in circulating the matters belatedly.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections if any.
5. The applications are disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)