

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.354 OF 2022**

BABU SAYBANNA CHINTALE AND ANOTHER ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...
Mr. Tukaram M. Venjane, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
S. G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATED : 10th JANUARY, 2022.

PER COURT:-

1. We have heard Mr. Venjane, learned counsel for petitioners.

2. The dispute appears to be about the area. The entry appears to be in consonance with the Award. According to the petitioner, though in the Award it is shown that 20R land of petitioners is acquired, in fact, the same was of present respondent nos.5 and 6 and the amount of compensation was also paid to them.

3. It will not be possible for this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue certain direction.

4. The petitioner may take up such steps as may be permissible under law.

5. In that event, all contentions are kept open.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(S. G. DIGE)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

Devendra/January-2022