

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE NANDITA DUBEY
ON THE 2nd OF DECEMBER, 2022**

WRIT PETITION No. 27785 of 2022

BETWEEN:-

**GANESH PRASAD PARDHI S/O LATE SHRI CHUNNILAL
PARDHI, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, OCCUPATION:
GOVERNMENT SERVANT, CONSTABLE, RPF, NAINPUR,
NAGPUR DIVISION, R/O RAILWAY QUARTER NO. 192,
RAILWAY COLONY, NAINPUR DISTRICT MANDLA
(MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....PETITIONER

(BY SHRI UTKARSH AGRAWAL, ADVOCATE)

AND

- 1. RAILWAY PROTECTION FORCE THROUGH ITS
DIRECTOR GENERAL, RAILWAY BOARD,
MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS, GOVERNMENT OF
INDIA, NEW DELHI**
- 2. PRINCIPAL CHIEF SECURITY COMMISSIONER,
O/O INSPECTOR GENERAL CUM PRINCIPAL
CHIEF SECURITY COMMISSIONER, RAILWAY
PROTECTION FORCE, SOUTH EAST CENTRAL
RAILWAY, BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)**
- 3. ASSISTANT SECURITY COMMISSIONER,
RAILWAY PROTECTION FORCE, SOUTH EAST
CENTRAL RAILWAY, NAGPUR (MAHARASHTRA)**

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI PUSHPENDRA YADAV, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL)

*This petition coming on for admission this day, the Court passed the
following:*

ORDER

The petitioner being aggrieved by his transfer order dated 24.11.2022
(Annexure P/1) and 24.11.2022 (Annexure P/2) has preferred this petition on the

ground that the same is violative of RPF, Rules, 1987 and Directive No.32 which provides normal tenure of five years to the petitioner.

It is submitted that in the transfer order of the petitioner, apart from a single word "Administrative Interest", no other ground has been mentioned as to why he was required to be transferred within a period of one year. Learned counsel submits that he has also moved an application before the respondent No.2/Principal Chief Security Commissioner in this regard and prays that the petitioner may be given a liberty to file a fresh detailed representation in this regard and the respondent No.2 may decide the same within a stipulated period.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the said innocuous prayer.

Considering the aforesaid, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation within 07 days from today before the respondent No.2/Principal Chief Security Commissioner, who, in turn, shall decide the same in the light of Clause 2.A(iv) of the Directive No.32, within a further period of 15 days.

For a period of 15 days or till the representation is decided, whichever is earlier, the petitioner be permitted to continue at the present place of posting.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case.

With the aforesaid direction, the petition is **disposed of**.

Certified copy today.

(NANDITA DUBEY)
JUDGE

ak