

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT INDORE
BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA
ON THE 16th OF MARCH, 2022

WRIT PETITION No. 6002 of 2022

Between:-

BALRAM FAUJDAR S/O SHRI MANSINGH FAUJDAR, AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, OCCUPATION: SUB INSPECTOR, HQ RATLAM WESTERN RAILWAY VILLAGE TIGHARIYA, POST RAMNAGAR, TAHSIL KATHUMAR, DISTRICT ALWAR (RAJASTHAN) (RAJASTHAN)

.....PETITIONER

(BY SHRI HITENDRA KUMAR GOLHANI, ADVOCATE)

AND

1. **RAILWAY PROTECTION FORCE THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL NEW DELHI (DELHI)**
2. **INSPECTOR GENERAL CUM PRINCIPAL CHIEF SECURITY COMMISSIONER GLO BUILDING, CHURCH GATE (MAHARASHTRA)**
3. **SR. DIVISION SECURITY COMMISSIONER R.P.F. WESTERN RAILWAY RATLAM (MADHYA PRADESH)**
4. **INSPECTOR R.P.F. WESTERN RAILWAY RATLAM (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI H.Y. MEHTA, G.A for respondents on advance notice.)

This petition coming on for orders this day, the court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who is working as Sub Inspector has been transferred from Ratlam Division, Western Zone to Northeast Frontier Railway Guwahati, Assam on administrative ground.

Counsel for petitioner submits that the transfer order has been issued in violation of the statutory rules and also there is personal hardship in carrying out the transfer order as his wife is pregnant. Counsel for petitioner submits that he will submit a representation before the respondent No.2 and he may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a time bound period.

Counsel for respondents submits that the petitioner has already been

relieved.

Considering the aforesaid limited prayer, the present petition is **disposed off** with a liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed and comprehensive representation to the respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today. If such a representation is submitted within the aforesaid period, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondent No.2 in accordance with law within a period of one month thereafter. If no one is posted in place of the petitioner for a period of one month or till the representation is decided which ever is earlier, the petitioner shall be allowed to continue at present place of posting.

(VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA)
JUDGE

VM

