

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 35791 of 2022

Srikanta Sahu

.....

Petitioner

Mr. K. R. Choudhury, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

22.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties not to demolish the residential house over his rightfully owned property without affording opportunity of hearing to him.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-3 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-3 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)