

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 35707 of 2022

Lalit Mohan Seth

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P. Dash, Advocate

Vs.

**Collector-cum-D, Sundargarh and
others**

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

23.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition challenging the forceful eviction of the petitioner from the land in spite of pendency of the encroachment case.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-4 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)