

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.35383 of 2022

Ajaya Kumar Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr.Parsuram Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr.T.K.Patnaik, A.S.C.

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K. MOHAPATRA

ORDER

21.12.2022

Order No.

02.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Standing Counsel for School & Mass Education Department.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:


“ It is therefore, humbly prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to direct the State/Opposite Parties more particularly Opposite Party No.4, the Collector, Puri to release the revised salary as well as the arrear salary of the Petitioner from the year 2014 under revised Assured Career Progression (RACP)Scheme, 2013 in terms of the judgment of this Hon’ble Court rendered in the case of Biharilal Barik-vrs.- State of Odisha to secure ends of justice within a specific period.

And pass such other order/orders or direction/directions as this Hon’ble Court deem just and proper.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioners ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Collector, Puri, Opposite Party No.4 under Annexure-7. It is also submitted by the learned

counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-7 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.4 which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party no.4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-7 in accordance with law taking into consideration the recommendation under Annexure-2,4 & 6 within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.4 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-7 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. 

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ application stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

RKS

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge