

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

**CONTC No.7786 Of 2021
(Through hybrid mode)**

Surada Prasanna Mohanty ***Applicant***

Mr. S. Patnaik, Advocate

-versus-

Ananya Das, IAS and another ***Alleged
Contemners***

Mr. D. Mohapatra, Advocate

CORAM: JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

**Order
No.**

**ORDER
22.03.2022**

2.
 1. Mr. Patnaik, learned advocate appears on behalf of applicant and submits, this is second contempt application. He submits, there was violation of directions made in order dated 11th December, 2020, by which his client's first contempt application was disposed of.
 2. Mr. Mohapatra, learned advocate appears on behalf of alleged contemners and submits, alleged contemner no.1 filed show cause. He relies upon it for purpose of defending both his clients.
 3. There cannot be cognizance of contempt on alleged violation of directions made in contempt jurisdiction. Court's power to make direction is provided either by the constitution or by relevant statute.

Contempt jurisdiction is for purpose of adjudication on whether there has been violation of any direction made by the Court in exercise of its jurisdiction to adjudicate.

4. The contempt application is misconceived. No order can be made on it. It is dismissed.

(Arindam Sinha)
Judge

Sks

