

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 41209 of 2021

Bipin Bihari Panda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.N. Patnaik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER
05.01.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to bring over the petitioner to the work charged establishment with effect from 11.02.2011 in terms of the order of the Tribunal dated 27.10.2016 passed in O.A. No. 65 of 2015 under Annexure-7 which has been implemented vide order dated 26.11.2021 under Annexure-9 with all consequential service and financial benefits.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.1 vide Annexure-6 series and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexures-7 and 9, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 series, taking into consideration Annexures-7 and 9, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)