

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 34881 of 2022

Bharati Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Mr. U. Chhotray, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

21.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to accommodate the petitioner against the post of Sikshya Sahayak as there are large number of vacancies in the district of Jagatsinghpur taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner was validly selected for the said post.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-3 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has raised no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-3 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)