

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**WPC No. 40680 of 2021**

***Durga Prasad Nayak***

.....

***Petitioner***

*Mr. S.Patnaik, Advocate*

Vs.

***State of Odisha and another***

.....

***Opposite parties***

*State counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**21.01.2022**

**Order No.**

1

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner of extending financial up-gradation in shape of RACP.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.2 vide Annexure-4 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of the authenticated/certified copy this order.

6. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the

order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, as modified by Court's Notice No. 4798 dated 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2022.

*Ashok*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)**

