

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 34782 of 2022

Ananta Kumar Nayak

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Mohanty, Advocate

Vs.

***The Registrar, Utkal University,
BBSR and others***

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

21.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to regularize his service from the date of initial appointment by modifying the regularization order as has been done in case of similarly situated employees and to release the consequential benefits within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-4, to which learned Counsel for the State has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5, taking into consideration Annexure-4 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)