

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 40309 of 2021

Subhajit Marhual

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.K. Rath, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

11.01.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.2 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Revenue Inspector in pursuance to the order dated 03.09.2021 passed by the RDC under Annexure-5 along with the Rule 4 (2)(c) & Rule-11 of the ODRS Rule, 1983 within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.2 vide Annexure-8 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-5, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-8, taking into consideration Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of the authenticated/certified copy this order.

6. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, as modified by Court's Notice No. 4798 dated 15th April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)

