

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 40007 of 2021

Jumal Munda and others

.....

Petitioners

Mr. S.P. Jena, Advocate

Vs.

State of Orissa and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

19.01.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to issue appointment order in their favour as per the draft merit list under Annexure-2 taking into consideration the fact that other districts have already issued appointment in favour of similarly situated persons.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioners have made a representation to opposite party No.1 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-2, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioners vide Annexure-5, taking into consideration Annexure-2, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified/authenticated copy of this order.

6. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are

continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned advocate, in the manner prescribed, vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, as modified by Court's Notice No. 4798 dated 15th April, 2021, and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)

