

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 33987 of 2022

Laxmidhar Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Rath, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

21.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.2 to dispose of the appeal pending before him under Annexure-8 taking into account the government guidelines under Annexures-5, 6 and 7.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-8 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexures-5, 6 and 7, to which learned Counsel for the State has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-8, taking into consideration Annexures-5, 6 and 7 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)