

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 33319 of 2022

Prafulla Kumar Hota & Others

Petitioners

Mr. B.Mohanty, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Others

Opposite Parties

Mr. H.M.Dhal, AGA

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

14.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

“ It is therefore, prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the writ application issue Rule NISI in the nature of a writ of mandamus/certiorari calling upon the Opp. Party authorities to show cause as to why they shall not be directed to give compensation to the petitioners in respect of their land as described in the representation vide Annexure-5 with 9% interest within a stipulated period.

And if the Opp. Parties fail to show cause or show insufficient cause the said Rule be made absolute and the Opp. Party authorities be directed to give compensation to the petitioners in respect of their land as described in the representation vide Annexure-5 with 9% interest within a stipulated period”.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioners states that highlighting their grievance, the Petitioners have made representation to Opposite Party No.2 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be

considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioners vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(B. P. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE



Subrat