

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.15909 of 2021

- 1. Sridhar Sahoo**
- 2. Smt. Biranchi Sahoo**
- 3. Srinibash Sahoo**
- 4. Anusaya Sahoo**
- 5. Ranju Nayak**

.... **Petitioners**

Mr. A. Pattanaik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

.... **Opp. Parties**

Mr. D.K. Pani,
Addl. Standing Counsel

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO

ORDER
12.01.2022

Order No.

01. This matter is taken up through video conferencing mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioner no.4 Anusaya Sahoo has been inadvertently mentioned as son of Srinibash Sahoo, in fact she is the wife of Srinibash Sahoo.

In view of such submission, the petitioner no.4 shall be read as Anusaya Sahoo, aged about 35 years, wife of Srinibash Sahoo.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with C.T. Case No.2217 of 2021 arising out of Binjharpur P.S. Case No.522 of 2021 pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Jajpur for alleged commission of offences under sections 498-A/323/294/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with section 4 of the D.P. Act.

Perused the F.I.R.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the case arises out of a complaint petition and the petitioners are the in-laws of the informant and due to matrimonial dispute, the case has been foisted and the offences are triable by Magistrate and on hearing the learned counsel for the State, I am inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid case, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that they shall make themselves available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing any facts to the Courts or to the Investigating Officer.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

Parties may utilize the soft copy of this order available in the High Court's website or print out thereof at par with certified copy in the manner prescribed vide Office Order dated 7th January 2022.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

P

