

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAPC) No. 133 of 2019

Bijay Kumar Sahu and others

.....

Petitioners

Mr. S.D. Routray, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking to quash the advertisement issued by opposite party no.3 under Annexure-5 and to issue direction to the opposite parties to issue necessary corrigendum to the advertisement issued by opposite party no.2 on 18.05.2008 under Annexure-2.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioners have made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioners vide Annexure-7, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE