

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 1421 of 2019

Dinabandhu Rout

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Pattnaik, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

11.10.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to take promptitude steps to absorb him on regular basis against the substantive post of Ophthalmic Assistant taking into account his long standing service experience as Ophthalmic Assistant (Contractual) against the existing vacant post.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE