

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.391 OF 2019

Avaya Kumar Das

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Pattnaik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Anr.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

27.09.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to take promptitude steps/diligent steps for his absorption on regular basis against the substantive post of Ophthalmic Assistant taking into account his long standing service experience as Ophthalmic Assistant (Contractual) against the existing vacant posts.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-6 series and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.
5. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party

no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 series and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

