

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 64 of 2019

Dillip Kumar Tripathy

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Tatapathy, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

27.09.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to opposite parties to consider the representation of the petitioner and the proposal submitted by the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Division, Nayagarh vide letter dated 11.09.2017 and to regularize the service of the petitioner under Work Charged establishment retrospectively from the date his juniors have been regularized.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-1 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexures-2, 3 and 4, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing opposite party No.1 to dispose of the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-1, taking into consideration Annexures-2, 3 and 4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)